

March 31, 1988

LB 352, 855, 1232
LR 249

SPEAKER BARRETT: The light just went off. If you would care to speak, there are no other lights on.

SENATOR ASHFORD: I'll just call the question, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Won't be necessary.

SENATOR ASHFORD: Okay.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The question is the advancement of the bill. Those in favor say aye, opposed no. Ayes have it. Carried. Anything for the record?

CLERK: Yes, Mr. President, I do. Senator Hall has amendments to LB 1232. (See pages 1983-84 of the Legislative Journal.)

Enrollment and Review reports LB 352 as correctly engrossed. (See pages 1984-87 of the Legislative Journal.) That's all that I have.

SPEAKER BARRETT: LR 249CA. The call is raised.

CLERK: Mr. President, 249CA is on Select File. I have no E & R amendments. I do have an amendment to the resolution offered by Senators Rod Johnson and Wehrbein.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Rod Johnson.

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: Mr. Speaker and members, I have distributed a packet of material on this issue on your desk. As the material highlights, my office did request an Attorney General's Opinion to make it specifically clear to us, as introducers, that we didn't have any constitutional problems with the amendment. In that AG's Opinion, they said, yes, you can be exempt from the uniformity clause from other classes of property but there seems to be a slight problem when you're dealing with other subclasses of agricultural property. And this amendment simply makes it clear to...or more clear in language that we're going to provide that uniformity does not have to be applied in subclasses as well as other classes of property. That's what the amendment does. It, basically, puts the bill in better form and the form that we would like to have it in and I would move the amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you, sir. Is there discussion? Any